

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/736,627	1	2/13/2000	Jon D. Clauson	07844-447001	07844-447001 8023	
21876	7590	06/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3300 DAIN RAUSCHER PLAZA				MCCARTNEY, LINZY T		
	NEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ		· ·	2671	11	
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/736,627	CLAUSON, JON D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Linzy McCartney	2671
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 M</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11 and 13-15 is/are pending in a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8,10 and 13-15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,9,11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/736,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,288,795 to Urasawa in view of Wilson et al., "HVS ColorGIF 2.0 User Manual" (Wilson).
 - a. Referring to claim 1, Urasawa discloses receiving an electronic source image containing a plurality of colors not all of which can be painted in the output image and receiving a dithering mask corresponding to the source image (column 3, lines 23-31). Urasawa does not explicitly disclose wherein the dithering mask contains a plurality of dithering levels specifying, on a per pixel basis the degree to which colors in corresponding regions of the source image can be variably dithered to paint the output image or generating the output image from the source image by variably dithering the colors of the output image on a per pixel basis according to the dithering levels specified in the received dithering mask; wherein the colors of the output image are dithered by creating pixel patterns of colors that are available in the limited color palette to simulate colors that are not available in the limited color palette. Wilson discloses applying a dithering mask which contains a plurality of dithering levels specifying, on a per pixel basis the degree to which colors in corresponding regions of the source image

Art Unit: 2671

can be variably dithered (Using Selections, paragraphs 3-5) and generating the output image from the source image by variably dithering the colors of the output image on a per pixel basis according to the dithering levels specified in the received dithering mask (Using Selections, paragraphs 1-5) wherein the colors of the of the output image are dithered by creating pixel patterns of colors that are available in the limited color palette to simulate colors that are not available in the limited color palette. (Using Selections, paragraphs 3-5). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Urasawa by receiving a dithering mask containing a plurality of levels and generating an output image by variably dithering as taught by Wilson. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been because it would allow different areas of an image to be handled in different ways (Wilson, Using Selections, paragraph 3).

b. Referring to claim 4, Urasawa does not explicitly disclose wherein the output image is a GIF or PNG8. Wilson discloses wherein the output image is a GIF or PNG8 (Using Selections, paragraph 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Urasawa by wherein the output image is a GIF or PNG8as taught by Wilson. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been because it would allow different areas of an image to be handled in different ways (Wilson, Using Selections, paragraph 3).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/736,627

Art Unit: 2671

Referring to claim 9, Urasawa discloses receiving an electronic source image C. containing a plurality of colors not all of which can be painted in the output image and receiving a dithering mask corresponding to the source image (column 3, lines 23-31). Urasawa does not explicitly disclose wherein the dithering mask contains a plurality of dithering levels specifying, on a per pixel basis the degree to which colors in corresponding regions of the source image can be variably dithered to paint the output image or generating the output image from the source image by variably dithering the colors of the output image on a per pixel basis according to the dithering levels specified in the received dithering mask; wherein the colors of the of the output image are dithered by creating pixel patterns of colors that are available in the limited color palette to simulate colors that are not available in the limited color palette. Wilson discloses applying a dithering mask which contains a plurality of dithering levels specifying, on a per pixel basis the degree to which colors in corresponding regions of the source image can be variably dithered (Using Selections, paragraphs 3-5) and generating the output image from the source image by variably dithering the colors of the output image on a per pixel basis according to the dithering levels specified in the received dithering mask (Using Selections, paragraphs 1-5) wherein the colors of the of the output image are dithered by creating pixel patterns of colors that are available in the limited color palette to simulate colors that are not available in the <u>limited color</u> palette. (Using Selections, paragraphs 3-5). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Urasawa by receiving a dithering mask containing a plurality of levels and generating an output image by variably

Art Unit: 2671

dithering as taught by Wilson. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been because it would allow different areas of an image to be handled in different ways (Wilson, Using Selections, paragraph 3).

- 3. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urasawa in view of Wilson as applied to claims 1 and 9 above further in view of Foley et al, "Computer Graphics: Principles and Practice" (Foley).
 - a. Referring to claim 2, Urasawa does not explicitly disclose wherein the received dithering mask is an alpha channel of the received electronic image. Foley discloses using the alpha channel in image compositing (page 835, paragraph 3 page 836, paragraph 3) and storing the alpha channel information in the image (page 844, paragraph 1). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Urasawa by making the dithering mask an alpha channel of the image as taught by Foley. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the number of files.
 - b. Referring to claim 11, Urasawa does not explicitly disclose wherein the received dithering mask is an alpha channel of the received electronic image. Foley discloses using the alpha channel in image compositing (page 835, paragraph 3 page 836, paragraph 3) and storing the alpha channel information in the image (page 844, paragraph 1). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Urasawa by making the dithering mask an alpha channel of the image as taught by Foley. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the number of files.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2671

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-8, 10, 13-15 are allowed. None of the references, either singularly or in combination, teach or fairly suggest receiving a dithering level from a corresponding pixel in a dithering mask associated with the source image, wherein the dithering level specifies the amount of the output pixel's color error to diffuse to neighboring pixels, and wherein the dithering level can specify less that the total amount of the output pixel's color is diffused to neighboring pixels.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that HVS ColorGIF 2.0 User Manual (HVS) fails to disclose, "... specifying on a per pixel basis the degree to which colors in the corresponding regions of the source image can be variably dithered." However, the Examiner notes HVS discloses that the user has the ability to select a desired area to be variably dithered (User Selection, paragraphs 1-5) therefore discloses the ability to dither on a per pixel basis.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 6

Art Unit: 2671

Page 7

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Linzy McCartney** whose telephone number is **(703)** 605-0745. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday (8:00AM-5: 30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

ltm May 24, 2004

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Art Unit: 2671

Page 8